

CITATION (2004) 6 KLR

ISSN 1117-0530

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2004) KLR VOL 6 PART 181 pp. 1607 - 1710

JUNE 2004

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Ughutevbe v. Shonowo p. 1607
2. Ukpabi v. State p. 1641
3. Nwanji v. Coastal Services (Nigeria) Limited p. 1657

COURT OF APPEAL CASE

1. Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 6 KLR**

ACTIONS - Counterclaim - Special damages claimed - Was rightly dismissed - For not being proved (H2) Nwanji v. Coastal Services Ltd. p. 1657

APPEALS - Armed robbery - Concurrent findings - Against the appellant - Not being perverse - Will not be disturbed (H5) Ukpabi v. State p. 1641

CRIMINAL LAW - Armed robbery - Recognition of the accused - The robbery victim in this case - Had enough courage and time - To observe the accused with his lameness (H1) Ukpabi v. State p. 1641

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Armed robbery - Identification parade - Is not the issue here - But a matter of spontaneous recognition - That is not mistaken (H3) Ukpabi v. State p. 1641

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification of the accused - Where a case depends substantially on this - And defence alleges the identification to be mistaken - Court must be cautious (H2) Ukpabi v. State p. 1641

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Recognition of the accused - Corroboration - Statement of accused to Police - Also implicated him (H4) Ukpabi v. State p. 1641

DAMAGES - Land law - Alternative claim - In which an amount was claimed as mesne profits - Or as damages for fraud - Can succeed on one arm of the claim (H4) Ughutevbe v. Shonowo p. 1607

DAMAGES - Pleadings - Evidence - Admissibility - Courts - Where evidence upon which damages were awarded - Are inadmissible - Supreme Court will expunge them (H7) Nwanji v. Coastal Services Ltd. p. 1657

DAMAGES - Professional fees - Sought to be recovered as damages - Has no basis - And is an usual claim in Nigeria for now - Where Solicitor's fees - Are not awarded as part of damages in court cases (H6) Nwanji v.

Coastal Services Ltd. p. 1657

DAMAGES - Special damages - Claimable heads - Quantification - Strict proof - Supply of particulars - Are all necessary for the claim to succeed (H5) Nwanji v. Coastal Services Ltd. p. 1657

DAMAGES - Special damages - Pleadings - Proof - Plaintiff claiming special damages - Is obliged to plead, particularize and prove same (H3) Nwanji v. Coastal Services Ltd. p. 1657

ESTOPPEL - Land law - Evidence - Upon which estoppel can be grounded - Was not established in this case (H6) Ughutevbe v. Shonowo p. 1607

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Documents - Photocopy of agreement - Is admissible - As appellant did not suggest a difference between it and the original (H1) Nwanji v. Coastal Services Ltd. p. 1657

EVIDENCE - Proof - Special damages - Proof of - Carriage of goods - Awards made in respect of the goods - Mere evidence of loss of the goods - Is not admissible - As proof of special damages (H4) Nwanji v. Coastal Services Ltd. p. 1657

PLEADINGS - Reply - Striking out - Courts - Hearing the parties - Was a necessity trial court should have observed - Before striking out part of the amended reply (H3) Ughutevbe v. Shonowo p. 1607

PLEADINGS - Reply - New ground of claim - O. 16 r. 12 High Court Rules of Lagos State - Demands that a plaintiff's reply - Should not raise new ground of claim - Save by way of amendment (H1) Ughutevbe v. Shonowo p. 1607

PLEADINGS - Reply - New ground of claim O. 16 r. 12 HCR of Lagos State - Where plaintiff's reply is in answer - To a different set of facts pleaded by defendant - O. 16 r. 12 is not breached by that reply (H2)

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 6 KLR**

Ughutevbe v. Shonowo p. 1607

TORTS - Property - Presumption of advancement - Operates in favour of the respondent - In respect of the land in dispute (H5) Ughutevbe v. Shonowo p. 1607

COURT OF APPEAL

COURTS - Evidence - Evaluation of - Is trial court's primary duty - Appellate court will not interfere - Save the evaluation is perverse (H8) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Criminal trespass offence - Evidence - Under s. 348 Penal Code - What prosecution must prove in order to succeed - Includes intention to commit offence and annoy the person in possession (H1) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Alibi - Proof - Acquittal of some accused persons on ground of alibi - Will not automatically ground acquittal of co-accused in all cases (H7) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Burden of proof - Error of trial court In stating that burden shifts to accused - Once prima facie case is established - Did not occasion a miscarriage of justice - As the law was duly applied thereafter (H6) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Criminal trespass - Possession of the land by the complainant - Being a necessary ingredient - Was proved by the prosecution (H2) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Criminal trespass - Proof - Entry and intention - Were properly proved by the prosecution (H4) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2004) 6 KLR CA v

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Criminal trespass - Proof - Mischief committed - Is a necessary ingredient of the offence - And was proved as rightly found by the trial court (H5) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Criminal trespass charge - Definition - As the offence implies possession - Failure to mention possession in the count is not detrimental (H3) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Criminal Code s. 7 (a) Ukpabi v. State p. 1641

Lagos State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 1972 O. 16 r. 12 Ughutevbe v. Shonowo p. 1607

Robbery and Firearms (Special Provisions) Decree No. 47 of 1970 s. 1 (2) (a) Ukpabi v. State p. 1641

COURT OF APPEAL

Evidence Act s. 138 (1) Yav v. State p. 1677 CA

Penal Code ss. 79, 337, 342, 348 Yav v. State p. 1677 CA